<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Heterochronous Suture Line Recurrences in the Jejunal Pouch following Total Gastrectomy for Stage II Gastric Cancer: A Case Report and Literature Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author(s)</td>
<td>Yokoe, T; Sato, M; Yahagi, M; Dogru, M; Fujisaki, H; Ogura, M; Kawamata, H; Asahara, F; Takayama, S; Harada, H; Tanaka, Y; Miyauchi, J; Matsui, J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Case reports in oncology, 13(1): 225-232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URL</td>
<td><a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10130/5133">http://hdl.handle.net/10130/5133</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rights</td>
<td>This article is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC). Usage and distribution for commercial purposes requires written permission.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Heterochronous Suture Line Recurrences in the Jejunal Pouch following Total Gastrectomy for Stage II Gastric Cancer: A Case Report and Literature Review

Takamichi Yokoe, Michio Sato, Masashi Yahagi, Murat Dogru, Hiroto Fujisaki, Masaharu Ogura, Hiroshi Kawamata, Fumitaka Asahara, Shin Takayama, Hirohisa Harada, Yoichi Tanaka, Jun Miyauchi, Junichi Matsui

Keywords
Gastric cancer · Suture line recurrence · Local recurrence

Abstract
We report the case of a 65-year-old male who developed heterochronous local recurrences of gastric cancer in the jejunal pouch (J-pouch) four times after total gastrectomy. He underwent total gastrectomy, J-pouch, and Roux-en-Y reconstruction for stage II gastric cancer in 2005. Four local recurrences appeared on the esophago-jejunal anastomosis, the suture line within the pouch, the esophago-jejunal anastomosis, and the anastomosis between the jejunum and Y-loop, which were resected by partial excision or endoscopic submucosal dissection. Suture line recurrence of gastric cancer is rare. The common features for each recurrence included the surgically negative resection margins, observation of the same histopathological subtype, absence of remote metastasis or peritoneal seeding, and the recurrence on the anastomotic suture line, suggesting that the cause of recurrence was the implantation of exfoliated cancer cells probably in the suture line. However, there is no established procedure for preventing implantation recurrence currently, the effectiveness of lumen lavage is suggested.
Introduction

The reconstruction of a pouch reservoir as a gastric substitute has been advocated to provide better nutritional conditions and improve patients’ quality of life after total gastrectomy for gastric malignancies [1]. Recurrences in the jejunal pouch (J-pouch) and its anastomotic vicinity are extremely rare. We report a case with heterochronous multiple recurrences in the J-pouch and anastomotic suture line after total gastrectomy for stage II gastric cancer.

Case Report

A 65-year-old asymptomatic male was diagnosed with gastric cancer (stage II) with a well-differentiated adenocarcinoma in the upper area of the stomach and underwent total gastrectomy, J-pouch, and Roux-en-Y (R-Y) reconstruction at another institution in 2005. Twenty-six months after the first operation, in 2007, the patient was diagnosed with a recur-

Fig. 1. First recurrence at the esophago-jejunal pouch anastomosis (A–C), and second recurrence at the anastomosis line within the J-pouch (D–F). A Macroscopic appearance of the irregularly elevated mass at the esophago-jejunal pouch anastomosis at the first recurrence. B The trans-hiatal resection of the esophago-jejunal anastomosis reveals a 2 × 2 cm circular elevated lesion with irregular borders. C Histology of the tumor. A well-differentiated adenocarcinoma with focal papillary growth is present at the site of squamous and columnar epithelial junction. D, E Second recurrence at 2 sites of the anastomosis line within the J-pouch at 14 months after surgery. F The resection sample reveals a 2 × 2 cm circular elevated lesion with irregular borders and a smaller 1 × 1.5 cm elevated lesion. Both tumors are histologically well-differentiated adenocarcinomas with papillary structures, which resembles the previous recurrent tumor. Red arrows represent suture lines, yellow arrows represent recurrence tumors.
rence at the esophago-jejunal pouch anastomosis and was referred to our institution. A gastrointestinal endoscopy revealed an irregularly elevated mass at the esophago-jejunal pouch anastomosis (Fig. 1A and 2A), and the biopsy of the lesion confirmed an adenocarcinoma. The patient underwent the resection of the esophago-jejunal anastomosis using a trans-hiatal approach (Fig. 1B). The resected sample also revealed a circularly elevated lesion, measuring 2 × 2 cm, with irregular borders. Pathological examination of the resected specimen revealed a well-differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma with focal papillary structures (Fig. 1C). The margins of the specimen were negative for cancer, and there was no evidence of lymph node metastasis.

In 2008, 14 months after the second surgery, the patient was rediagnosed as having a tumor recurrence at 2 sites of the suture line within the J-pouch (Fig. 1D, E, and 2B) and he again underwent a J-pouch resection with a jejunal R-Y reconstruction. The resected sample contained a circularly elevated lesion, measuring 2 × 2 cm, with irregular borders and a

Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of the recurrence site. The site of recurrence is shown as red circles for the first (A), second (B), third (C), and fourth (D) recurrence.
smaller elevated lesion, measuring 1 × 1.5 cm (Fig. 1F). The pathological examination of the specimen revealed a well-differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma with focal papillary growth in both lesions, findings similar to those of the previous surgical specimens. Again, the surgical margins were negative for cancer, and there was no evidence of lymph node metastasis.

Nine months after the third operation, a mucosal lesion at the posterior wall of the esophago-jejunal anastomosis was found in the patient (Fig. 2C and 3A), and he underwent endoscopic submucosal dissection. The pathological examination revealed a well-differentiated adenocarcinoma (Fig. 3B), but there were no tumor cells at the margins of the resected specimen. The patient was lost to follow-up but was re-admitted in 2012 with complaints of anorexia and gastric irritation 32 months after the endoscopic submucosal dissection. A gastrointestinal endoscopy revealed a tumor recurrence in the close vicinity of the anastomotic site between the jejunum and the Y-loop of the first operation, and computed tomography revealed tumor invasion into the transverse colon and lateral segment of the liver. The patient underwent a jejunal resection, partial resection of the liver and transverse and
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sx Reconstruction method</th>
<th>Site of recurrence</th>
<th>Recurrences</th>
<th>Time to recurrence, months</th>
<th>Macro appearance</th>
<th>Pathology subtype</th>
<th>Invasion depth</th>
<th>Lymph node metastasis</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shinohara et al. [5] (2007) (1) (2)</td>
<td>PG J-pouch</td>
<td>Suture anastomosis in the J-pouch</td>
<td>(1) 1</td>
<td>(1) 12</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>tub2</td>
<td>(1) T2</td>
<td>(1) Negative (2) Negative</td>
<td>(1) Gastric remnant and pouch resection (2) Gastric remnant and pouch resection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B, Billroth; DG, Distal gastrectomy; ESD, Endoscopic submucosal dissection; J-pouch, jejunal pouch; PG, Proximal gastrectomy; R-Y, Roux-en-Y reconstruction; Sx, type of surgery; TG, Total gastrectomy; tub1, well-differentiated adenocarcinoma; tub2, moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma; por, poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma.
descending colons with a jejunal R-Y reconstruction (Fig. 2D and 3C). On gross examination of the resected sample, a highly irregular cauliflower-like elevated mass, measuring 5 × 3 cm, was found, which extended beyond the serosal surface (Fig. 3D). The tumor was histologically diagnosed as a well-differentiated adenocarcinoma forming papillary and ductal structures. The surgical margins of the resected specimen were free of tumor cells, and there was no lymph node metastasis. During follow-up, the patient showed no evidence of further recurrence and distant metastasis for one year after the last surgery, but eventually died of liver metastasis in 2015.

**Discussion**

The present case showed heterochronous local recurrences in the J-pouch following total gastrectomy for stage II gastric cancer. The formation of a pouch reservoir as a gastric substitute has been advocated to be clinically advantageous and to improve the quality of life after total gastrectomy for gastric cancer. Remnant gastric cancer after proximal or distal gastrectomy and recurrences following both types of gastrectomy are mostly in the stump [2]. The incidence of anastomotic or suture line recurrence after gastrectomy is reported to be 3–10% [3]. Suture line recurrence in the J-pouch is extremely rare.

The possible mechanisms of local stump recurrences after a total gastrectomy may be a submucosal or subserosal lymphatic spread, peritoneal dissemination, microinvasion of cancerous cells despite surgically negative resection margins, or possible implantation of exfoliated cancer cells. In our case, we suggest that lymphatic spread was unlikely since the tumor was a well-differentiated adenocarcinoma each time and neither lymph vessel invasion nor lymph node metastasis was seen in the specimens. The common features for each recurrence included the surgically negative resection margins, observation of the same histopathological subtype, absence of remote metastasis or peritoneal seeding, and the recurrence on the anastomotic suture line, suggesting that the cause of recurrence was the implantation of exfoliated cancer cells probably in the suture line.

We found seven reports of suture line recurrences after gastrectomy following a thorough PubMed and Medline research using the keywords “gastric cancer,” “gastrectomy,” “recurrence,” “suture line,” “jejunal pouch,” and “anastomosis”. The clinicopathological features of these cases, including those of our patient, are summarized in Table 1 [4–9]. We hereby report the ninth patient who developed J-pouch and anastomotic local recurrences four times after total gastrectomy for stage II gastric cancer. Several features can be identified from these cases. The depth of invasion was >T2; macroscopically, the tumor was of the elevated type; most cancers revealed a well-differentiated histological type; and the most common recurrence site was the suture line within the pouch. The period until recurrence was relatively long, with a median of 18.5 months.

There is no established method for preventing a suture recurrence of gastric cancer. Suture line recurrence is more common in colorectal cancers. Since it is thought that implantation occurs by the stapling device, due to the presence of cancer cells in the anastomosis portion, lavage of the rectum before anastomosis is a standard process. In gastric cancer cases, it has been reported that the positive washing cytology rate significantly decreases due to inside lumen lavage before esophageal dissection in total gastrectomy. Lavage before anastomosis may possibly prevent suture recurrence.
Conclusion

Suture line recurrence of gastric cancer is rare, and this is the first case to present four heterochronous recurrences. Suture line recurrence by the implantation of exfoliated cancer cells features a greater depth of invasion, an elevated macroscopic type tumor, a well-differentiated type tumor, and the suture line within the pouch as the recurrence site, with a relatively long period until relapse. Although currently there is no established procedure that could prevent implantation recurrence, the effectiveness of lumen lavage is suggested.
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